United Nations A/C.5/55/SR.43

General Assembly
Fifty-fifth session

Official Records

Distr.: General
7 February 2001

Original: English

Fifth Committee

Summary record of the first part* of the 43rd meeting
Held at Headquarters, New York, on Friday, 22 December 2000, at 10 a.m.

Chairman: Mr. Park Hae-yun (Vice-Chairman) ..... (Republic of Korea)
Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions: Mr. Mselle

Contents

Agenda item 117: Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001 (*continued*)

Agenda item 153: Administrative and budgetary aspects of the financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations (*continued*)

Implementation of the report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations (*continued*)

Agenda item 117: Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001 (*continued*)

Conditions of service and compensation for officials, other than Secretariat officials, serving the General Assembly: full-time members of the International Civil Service Commission and the Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions (continued)

Contingency fund: consolidated statement of programme budget implications and revised estimates

Recosting of outstanding statements of programme budget implications and revised estimates

Draft report of the Fifth Committee (continued)

Agenda item 176: Financing of the United Nations Mission in Ethiopia and Eritrea (*continued*)

Agenda item 118: Programme planning (*continued*)

* The summary record of the second part of the meeting, to be reconvened on Saturday, 23 December 2000, will appear as document A/C.5/55/SR.43/Add.1.

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

01-20025 (E)

In the absence of Mr. Rosenthal (Guatemala), Mr. Park Hae-yun (Republic of Korea), Vice-Chairman, took the Chair.

The meeting was called to order at 11.55 a.m.

Agenda item 117: Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001 (*continued*)

Agenda item 153: Administrative and budgetary aspects of the financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations (*continued*)

Implementation of the report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations (continued) (A/C.5/55/L.32)

Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.32

1. **Mr. Schlesinger** (Austria) introduced draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.32.

2. *Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.32 was adopted.*

3. **Mr. Thapa** (Nepal), speaking in explanation of position, said that Nepal was deeply committed to the Charter of the United Nations; indeed, its Constitution referred to the Charter as one of its fundamental guiding principles. In its 45 years of association with the United Nations, his country had always been guided by the conviction that the Organization was dedicated to global peace and the socio-economic betterment of the peoples of the world. Nepal, moreover, had been participating in United Nations peace operations since 1958.

4. His delegation agreed that there was a need to strengthen the Department of Peacekeeping Operations and would have been pleased to endorse even more additional resources than the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions (ACABQ) had requested if a comprehensive review of the structure of the Organization were to indicate that such additional resources were justified. Even national Governments embarked on restructuring exercises only after a comprehensive review had been conducted. In the absence of such a review, the additional posts requested for the Department of Peacekeeping Operations should be considered as an interim relief rather than an emergency measure.

5. It was also essential to involve troop-contributing countries in the work of the Department of Peacekeeping Operations, particularly in the area of mission-specific planning; that would enable the Department of Peacekeeping Operations to benefit from the experience of troop contributors. Such an approach would also promote greater team spirit among military planners and greater understanding of each other's cultures, and command and control structures. While the draft text did contain references to consultations with troop-contributing countries, his delegation would have preferred more elaboration of the concept of involving troop contributors in mission-specific planning whenever peacekeeping operations were launched. Despite those reservations, however, his delegation had decided to go along with the draft resolution in order to respect the consensus.

6. **Mr. Lamek** (France), speaking on behalf of the European Union, welcomed the adoption of the draft resolution. The implementation of the report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations was a step towards the fulfilment of the mandate given by the Heads of State in the United Nations Millennium Declaration. While even greater progress could have been achieved, the European Union was nevertheless pleased with the positive spirit that the Committee had displayed and hoped that efforts would continue to implement the Panel's recommendations.

7. **Ms. Isser** (United States of America) welcomed the adoption of the draft resolution, which approved emergency resource requirements for the implementation of the report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations. The resources approved represented a critical first step towards heeding the United Nations Millennium Declaration, which was aimed at giving the United Nations the tools it needed for peacekeeping. Her delegation would have liked to see additional resources provided, including resources for the upgrading of the post of Civilian Police Adviser and the establishment of a criminal advisory unit and a public information capacity in the Department of Peacekeeping Operations. The creation of an Office of the Assistant Secretary-General was also essential to ensuring an effective senior management structure that was responsive to the needs of troop contributors, the Security Council and operations in the field. Considerable support had been expressed for that position and her delegation looked forward to its further consideration by the Committee.

8. While the draft resolution was an important step towards the implementation of the Panel's recommendations, her delegation nevertheless attached

great importance to the comprehensive review and looked forward to further consideration of those resource proposals that had not been approved in the context of the next support account submission and the proposed programme budget for the biennium 2002-2003.

9. **Ms. Sánchez Lorenzo** (Cuba) said that her delegation welcomed the adoption of the draft resolution, but regretted the Secretary-General's failure to comply with rule 153 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly. Many of the posts requested by the Secretary-General were not in fact emergencies. Her delegation hoped that the comprehensive review to be carried out the following year would fully respect the procedures and rules governing the Committee's work.

Agenda item 117: Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001 (*continued*)

Conditions of service and compensation for officials, other than Secretariat officials, serving the General Assembly: full-time members of the International Civil Service Commission and the Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions (continued) (A/C.5/55/L.35)

10. **Mr. Ramos** (Portugal), Rapporteur, introduced draft decision A/C.5/55/L.35 and recommended that it be adopted without a vote.

11. *Draft decision A/C.5/55/L.35 was adopted.*

Contingency fund: consolidated statement of programme budget implications and revised estimates (A/C.5/55/34)

12. **Mr. Mselle** (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions), orally presenting the Advisory Committee's report, said that the Secretary-General's report (A/C.5/55/34) provided information on programme budget estimates and revised estimates charged to the contingency fund. The items were listed in the annex to the report. The total amount to be charged to the contingency fund was approximately $16.1 million and the Committee was asked to request the General Assembly to note that a balance of $224,300 would remain in the fund. In the past, the Advisory Committee had from time to time drawn attention to the need to monitor the adequacy of the fund. If the experience of the current year were to continue in the future, then a review of the adequacy of the fund should be carried out by the Secretary-General and the Advisory Committee. He wished to draw attention to two items that had been included in the report, namely, an amount of $517,600 for jointly financed administrative activities and an amount of $8 million for construction, alteration, improvement and major maintenance. Those amounts had been included in accordance with the provisions of General Assembly resolution 42/211.

13. **The Chairman** proposed that the Fifth Committee should recommend to the General Assembly that it should note that a balance of $224,300 remained in the contingency fund.

14. **Mr. Nakkari** (Syrian Arab Republic) said that the Committee should consider the report in informal consultations before it adopted the proposed draft decision.

15. **Mr. Acakpo-Satchivi** (Secretary of the Committee) said that, in his experience, the item had never been the subject of informal consultations, especially since its consideration came during the final hours of the Committee's work and the amounts indicated had already been approved. The Committee, however, was master of its own procedure.

16. **Mr. Nakkari** (Syrian Arab Republic) agreed that the Committee was indeed master of its own procedure. Enough time was available and the item should be taken up in informal consultations.

17. **Ms. Sánchez Lorenzo** (Cuba) asked whether the draft text should not also reflect the Committee's earlier decision on the revised estimates resulting from resolutions and decisions adopted by the Economic and Social Council at its 2000 substantive session.

18. **Mr. Mselle** (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions) said that the Committee had already approved the amounts indicated in the annex to the report. That approval had been preceded by extensive informal consultations on some of the items. The Committee was now being presented only with an indication of how those amounts were going to be charged to the contingency fund and the balance that remained in the fund. The Advisory Committee had considered the report and had concluded that the Secretary-General had followed the proper procedure,

including the proper interpretation of the use of the fund for the amounts in question. If any question remained as to whether or not an amount should be charged to the fund, he or the representative of the Secretary-General would be happy to respond.

19. **Mr. Sach** (Director of the Programme Planning and Budget Division) said, with reference to the contingency fund, that he considered the matter to be one of record keeping. The items that were listed against the fund were ones on which the Committee had already taken action. On the question of why the commitment authority of the Economic and Social Council was not reflected in the draft decision, he said that charges against the contingency fund were listed only at the point of appropriation; in the Council's case, therefore, the charges would be included in the second performance report.

20. **Mr. Nakkari** (Syrian Arab Republic) said that, while there might be no practical need for informal consultations, he wished to reiterate that the Committee must not adopt unwritten and untranslated documents that were not on the table. The Secretariat must be given sufficient time to prepare draft decisions so that the Committee could adopt them in all languages. He hoped that there would be no need in future for him to keep reaffirming that point.

21. **Mr. Acakpo-Satchivi** (Secretary of the Committee) said that the Committee would shortly begin consideration of its draft report (A/C.5/55/L.29). Consideration of the draft text was timed to permit the Committee to review all the decisions on the programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001 that had been taken thus far in the session. He wished to draw the attention of the representative of the Syrian Arab Republic to section XI of draft resolution I, in which the General Assembly would note that a balance of $224,300 remained in the contingency fund.

22. **The Chairman** said that the Committee would return to the item later in the meeting.

Recosting of outstanding statements of programme budget implications and revised estimates
(A/C.5/55/35)

23. **Mr. Mselle** (Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions), orally presenting the report of the Advisory Committee, said that, in his report (A/C.5/55/35), the Secretary-General presented the result of the recosting of estimates that had not been covered in the first performance report (A/55/645 and Corr.1 and Add.1). Those estimates were related to a number of decisions which the Committee had taken. The Advisory Committee recommended that the Committee should take the action that was proposed in paragraph 2 of the Secretary-General's report.

24. **The Chairman** proposed that the Fifth Committee should recommend to the General Assembly that it should take note of the report of the Secretary-General on the recosting of outstanding statements of programme budget implications and revised estimates and decide that the recosting and the related adjustments should be reflected in the revised appropriation for the biennium 2000-2001.

25. **Mr. Nakkari** (Syrian Arab Republic) said he hoped that the Committee would treat that draft decision in the same way as it had decided to deal with the previous draft decision.

26. **The Chairman** said that the text of the draft decision was contained in document A/C.5/55/L.29, which was available in all the official languages.

Draft report of the Fifth Committee
(A/C.5/55/L.29) (*continued*)

Draft resolution I

27. **The Chairman** drew attention to draft resolution I: Questions relating to the programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001, contained in the draft report of the Fifth Committee (A/C.5/55/L.29). As Committee members would recall, all sections of draft resolution I had been adopted at previous meetings of the Committee or earlier during the current meeting.

28. **Mr. Acakpo-Satchivi** (Secretary of the Committee) pointed out that that was not exactly the case. At the request of the representative of the Syrian Arab Republic, action on section XI (Contingency fund) and section XII (Recosting of outstanding statements of programme budget implications and revised estimates) had been deferred for lack of documentation. Section VI of the draft resolution (Revised estimates of resolutions and decisions adopted by the Economic and Social Council at its substantive session of 2000) should be deleted, since it was reproduced as draft decision I at the end of the report. All subsequent sections should be renumbered accordingly.

29. *Section XI of draft resolution I was adopted.*

30. *Section XII of draft resolution I was adopted.*

31. **Mr. Nakkari** (Syrian Arab Republic) said that, although he had no objection to section XI, he wondered why the Committee was now adopting a section which it had not adopted earlier.

32. **Mr. Sach** (Director of the Programme Planning and Budget Division) explained that sections XI and XII both dealt with matters which the Committee had addressed prior to its consideration of the draft report but had not adopted at the time for lack of documentation in all six official languages. The Committee had therefore taken action on the basis of the relevant sections of the draft report (A/C.5/55/L.29), which was available in all the official languages.

33. *Draft resolution I was adopted.*

34. **Mr. Nakkari** (Syrian Arab Republic) said that his delegation had agreed to the ad hoc procedure on an exceptional basis. In future, however, it would not take action on provisions that were presented orally; the necessary documentation should be available in all six official languages 24 hours in advance.

Draft resolution II

35. **The Chairman** drew the Committee's attention to draft resolution II: Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001, which was divided into three sections: section A on revised budget appropriations for the biennium 2000-2001; section B on revised income estimates for the biennium 2000-2001; and section C on financing of the appropriations for the year 2001.

36. **Mr. Acakpo-Satchivi** (Secretary of the Committee) corrected a number of figures in part C of the draft resolution. In paragraph 1 (a), the amount should be 51,899,313 dollars; in paragraph 1 (a) (i), the figure should be 21,193,700 dollars; and the amount in paragraph 1 (b) should be 1,213,381,487 dollars.

37. *Draft resolution II was adopted.*

38. **The Chairman** noted that draft decision I: Revised estimates of resolutions and decisions adopted by the Economic and Social Council at its substantive session of 2000, which, in fact, reproduced section VI of draft resolution I just adopted, and draft decision II: The critical situation of the International Research and Training Institute for the Advancement of Women, had already been adopted by the Committee.

Agenda item 176: Financing of the United Nations Mission in Ethiopia and Eritrea (*continued*)
(A.C.5/55/L.26)

39. **Mr. Kandanga** (Namibia) introduced draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.26 and recommended its adoption without a vote.

40. **Mr. Yeo** (Director of the Peacekeeping Finance Division) provided the figures for the blank portions of paragraphs 15 and 16 of the draft resolution following the Committee's adoption of draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.32 on the implementation of the report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations, in particular paragraph 5 thereof. In paragraph 15, the second line should read "additional amount of 9,190,200 dollars gross (8,741,600 dollars net) for the support account for peacekeeping operations for the period from 1 July 2000 to 30 June 2001 ...". The seventh line of the paragraph should read "4,595,100 dollars gross (4,235,800 dollars net) ...", and the ninth line should read "to be applied against the balance, that is, 4,595,100 dollars gross (4,235,800 dollars net)". Paragraph 16, beginning with the first blank in the fourth line, should read "448,600 dollars approved for the support account for peacekeeping operations for the period from 1 January to 30 June 2001, 224,300 dollars being the amount pertaining to the period ending 31 December 2000 and the balance, that is, 224,300 dollars ...".

41. *Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.26 was adopted.*

Agenda item 118: Programme planning (*continued*)
(A/C.5/55/L.18)

42. **Mr. Chandra** (India) introduced draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.18, which was divided into three sections: section I on the medium-term plan for the period 2002-2005; section II on the programme performance report for the period 1998-1999; and section III on other conclusions and recommendations of the Committee for Programme and Coordination. The consensus text on programme 19, human rights, was the product of joint consultations between the Fifth Committee and the Third Committee. He expressed thanks to the representative of France for having acted as coordinator of the joint consultations and for ensuring that documentation had been available on time. He

recommended the adoption of the draft resolution by consensus.

43. *Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.18 was adopted.*

44. **Ms. Dantoine** (France), speaking in explanation of position on behalf of the European Union, thanked the Third Committee for four weeks of intensive deliberations on programme 19, which had been particularly difficult without the participation of either the Committee for Programme and Coordination, or the Economic and Social Council. Agreement must be reached on the medium-term plan, particularly with respect to human rights, a major priority. Negotiations between the Third and Fifth Committees had been characterized by an outstanding spirit of cooperation, despite the difficulties created by the new concepts linked to programme planning. Although the new version of the medium-term plan had been adopted by consensus, it was not more uniform as a result. The European Union had made major concessions in order to support it, in the belief that the Organization must have a budgetary tool that was agreed to by consensus.

The meeting was suspended at 1 p.m.

United Nations A/C.5/55/SR.43/Add.1

General Assembly
Fifty-fifth session

Official Records

Distr.: General
13 February 2001

Original: English

Fifth Committee

Summary record of the second part* of the 43rd meeting
Held at Headquarters, New York, on Saturday, 23 December 2000, at 10.30 a.m.

Chairman: Mr. Rosenthal ..... (Guatemala)
Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions: Mr. Mselle

Contents

Agenda item 122: Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations (*continued*)

Agenda item 169: Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations (*continued*)

Agenda item 116: Review of the efficiency of the administrative and financial functioning of the United Nations (*continued*)

* The summary record of the first part of the meeting, held on Friday, 22 December 2000, appears as document A/C.5/55/SR.43.

This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be sent under the signature of a member of the delegation concerned *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief of the Official Records Editing Section, room DC2-750, 2 United Nations Plaza, and incorporated in a copy of the record.

Corrections will be issued after the end of the session, in a separate corrigendum for each Committee.

01-20537 (E)

The meeting was reconvened at 10.30 a.m.

Agenda item 122: Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations (*continued*)

Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.36

1. *Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.36 was adopted.*

2. **Mr. Heinbecker** (Canada) said that his delegation was pleased that draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.36 had been adopted by consensus. The new scale of assessments was a reasonable compromise, which did not unduly distort the scale methodology; it came closer to the goal of achieving a true reflection of capacity to pay and more accurately reflected current global economic realities. For the most part, the Committee had avoided methodological adjustments as a means of sidestepping the basic responsibility of Member States to pay their fair share of the costs of the United Nations.

3. The reduction of the assessment of the largest contributor was a distortion of the capacity to pay, but was politically necessary. His delegation looked forward to the largest contributor paying its arrears in full and without delay or conditions. At the same time, it recognized the difficulty caused by large increases in the assessed contributions of some Member States, and was pleased that the United States of America would be providing some mitigation to assist Member States in adjusting to the new scale. Canada would forego that assistance; its share of the funds would provide mitigation for Member States which would experience substantial increases in their regular budget assessments and had less capacity to pay.

4. Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.36 placed the Organization on a sounder financial footing. His delegation therefore expected that troop contributors would be reimbursed the full amount they were owed without delay. It continued to urge all Member States to pay their assessed contributions in full, on time and without conditions.

Agenda item 169: Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations (*continued*)

Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.37

5. **Ms. Benary** (Deputy Secretary of the Committee) said that, in paragraph 6, the word "group" should be changed to "level"; the new paragraph 17 bis, on a separate sheet, should be inserted after paragraph 17, and the paragraphs should be renumbered accordingly; and in annex A, Saint Kitts and Nevis, and Uruguay, should be deleted from level I.

6. **Mr. Wang** Yangfan (China) said that, following several months of arduous negotiations, Member States had finally reached consensus on the scales of assessments for the peacekeeping and regular budgets. The adjustment of the two scales of assessments touched on the interests of every Member State; the acceptance of the adjustments reflected a desire to seek common ground while accommodating differences, and the political will to enhance the role of the United Nations. Although more than 70 countries, mostly developing countries, would have higher assessments, they had set aside their own difficulties in order to reach consensus. That spirit was commendable and the United Nations should be grateful to those developing countries.

7. During the transition period, the reduction of the share of the peacekeeping budget of the major contributor would be made up by the four other permanent members of the Security Council, which was unreasonable. From the outset, his delegation had supported the approach that countries which would have higher rates of assessment should enjoy a certain amount of mitigation and a transition period. As a permanent member of the Security Council, however, China faced a further large increase in its rate of assessment for the peacekeeping budget, so that, in accepting the decision, it had paid a very high price. It should not be forgotten that China had a population of about 1.3 billion, and a per capita income which was just approaching $1,000.

8. In the long run, the financial difficulties of the United Nations resulted mainly from the arrears of the major contributor. The biggest problem with the adjustment was that the major contributor had arbitrarily set up a scale of its own which deviated from the principle of capacity to pay. Like many other

countries, China could not accept the major contributor's practice of imposing its will on other countries. However, it had finally joined the consensus because the United Nations had to have a regular budget in order to continue its normal operations and needed resources for its peacekeeping operations. China had borne greater burdens for the sake of the United Nations. It now demanded that the country with the largest arrears should also demonstrate its political will and the importance it attached to the United Nations in concrete action and should pay all its arrears in full, on time and without conditions.

9. At the threshold of a new century and a new millennium, there was still a long way to go towards achieving the goal of enhancing the role of the United Nations in the maintenance of world peace and the promotion of common development as set forth in the Millennium Declaration. China stood ready to work with other Members of the United Nations towards that goal.

10. **Ms. Pajula** (Estonia) said that in annex A of draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.37, Estonia was shown in level I; it should actually be in level B.

11. **Mr. Abelian** (Armenia), coordinator of the informal consultations on the item, said that, since Estonia had made a voluntary movement from level I to level B, that situation would be reflected in draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.38.

12. **Mr. Holbrooke** (United States of America) said that the Committee had travelled a very long way and the last stretch had been the most difficult. There had been a tremendous amount of tension and acrimony, because each country had been defending its national interests while trying collectively to advance the resolution of a problem which everyone agreed had to be solved.

13. From the outset, he had fully understood the unwillingness of other delegations to agree to a reduced scale of assessment for his Government; he greatly appreciated the outcome of the deliberations and believed that there would be a growing understanding by his Government that the United Nations was working with it on the issue. Much work remained to be done: the draft resolutions must be fully implemented and the reform effort must be continued, with particular attention to the full implementation of the reforms proposed by the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations.

14. In approaching the question of peacekeeping assessments, the Committee had made a worst case assumption. However, his delegation believed that substantial drawdowns were possible in East Timor and in Ethiopia and Eritrea; if the peacekeeping budget was reduced, every Member State would pay less.

15. Many delegations had expressed the view that they did not want peacekeeping to be financed at the expense of development aid and humanitarian assistance. His Government fully agreed and, like other major contributors, had been increasing its voluntary development assistance. At the same time, his Government did not believe that there needed to be a choice: development helped to prevent conflicts, and conflicts needed to be resolved so that there could be development.

16. Members of the United States Congress, a separate and co-equal branch of his Government, had visited the United Nations over the past year, and had been impressed with the Committee's efforts. At the beginning of his tenure as Permanent Representative, he had assured the Congress that his highest priority would be the implementation of reform; he would now be able to report back that he had met that commitment and that the Committee had gone a very substantial way in that direction.

17. **Mr. Adam** (Israel) said that his delegation was pleased with the new scale of assessments for peacekeeping operations, in which Israel was in level B and was foregoing the transition period. Israel attached great importance to the peacekeeping operations of the United Nations, and appreciated their objectives and work. It hoped that its new level of assessment, along with its admission to the Group of Western European and Other States, would foster a new chapter of relations between the United Nations and Israel.

18. **Mr. Mahbubani** (Singapore) said it was clear that the Committee needed to move towards a period of reconciliation and healing. Many delegations had found themselves under pressure to change their positions. Great efforts had been made to induce his delegation to change its position, and it had had to stand firm in order to defend a fundamental principle of the Charter of the United Nations, namely the sovereign equality of all Member States. By virtue of that principle, every delegation was entitled to be treated with respect and consideration, since every country had legitimate interests at stake.

19. At the same time, the Committee needed to look ahead. His delegation was pleased that the United States representative had indicated that there would be a new beginning in relations between the United States of America and the United Nations and that the commitments that had been made would be respected.

20. During the suspension of the meeting, his delegation had agreed to forgo the mitigation agreed to earlier in order to help to achieve consensus, even though Singapore's contributions to peacekeeping would increase substantially under the new scale. Every country had played a part in achieving the consensus and he hoped that delegations would work together in a positive spirit to build on what had been achieved.

21. *Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.37, as orally revised, was adopted.*

22. **Mr. Sun** Joun-Yung (Republic of Korea) said that, with regard to paragraph 21 of draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.37, his delegation wished to state that the triennial review described in paragraph 15 also applied to the Republic of Korea.

23. **Mr. Apata** (Nigeria), speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, said that, despite the difficulties and obstacles encountered, the Committee had finally achieved consensus. The commitment to strengthen the Organization which had been made by world leaders at the Millennium Summit would have been meaningless if the United Nations had failed to agree on how to provide resources for the smooth running of the Organization. The Group of 77 and China were proud to have shown flexibility; it was equally important to recognize the flexibility of their partners, which had led to a mutually satisfactory agreement. He appealed to the United States of America, as one of the founding members of the Organization, to pay its arrears in full and without delay.

24. **Mr. Rodriguez Parrilla** (Cuba) said that his delegation had profound reservations about the draft resolution, but had decided to join the consensus since that seemed to be the only way to avoid further damage to the United Nations and to the interests of the developing countries. It was also true that the impact of the increased assessments on some of the developing countries had been mitigated and some elements of the methodology had been modified. Although Cuba was not one of the developing countries which was seriously affected by the changes, it had always acted in solidarity with countries which were seriously affected.

25. The adoption of the draft resolution further confirmed that the United Nations could not escape the situation of unipolarity in the modern world, or the inequity, exclusionary nature and injustice of the unstable international order. Essentially, the United Nations had had to yield to blackmail and intimidation by the Government of the United States of America which, in turn, was casting blame on the United States Congress. Regrettably, it had been accepted that the capacity to pay was merely a reference principle; that posed the risk that other countries might be tempted to ignore that principle in the future. The burden of adjustment had been placed on the developing countries; that was a terrible injustice and would make their economic situation even more critical.

26. It was difficult to believe that the United Nations was now closer to solving its critical financial situation when there were alarming arrears in payments, increasing resort to unique practices to maintain liquidity in the regular budget, and the expectation of further increases in both the peacekeeping and the regular budget. Meanwhile, the peacekeeping budget was steadily increasing, and a further increase would be needed in both the peacekeeping and the regular budget to implement the recommendations of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations and to improve the security of peacekeeping personnel. The amount of debt acknowledged by the main debtor was approximately half of its real debt. If it was true that a donation from a private United States citizen had made it possible for the United States of America to join the consensus, that would clearly indicate the lack of seriousness with which that Government viewed its relations with the United Nations. His delegation believed that there was a clear understanding that if the United States of America did not pay at least the agreed amount, the whole package would fall apart and the Committee would go back to the starting point. The Members of the United Nations were sovereign and equal States; they could not accept any prerogative of the United States Congress to assess the performance of the United Nations or to give cash prizes for its reform efforts. The United States of America must pay what it owed because that was its legal and moral obligation.

27. **Ms. Ibraimova** (Kyrgyzstan) expressed appreciation for the understanding and support shown by delegations to her delegation. Their generosity and solidarity would be deeply appreciated by the people of Kyrgyzstan.

28. **Mr. Hays** (United States of America) said that the Committee had accomplished a major reform: for 27 years, the connection between the responsibility of Member States for peace and security and their capacity to pay had been delinked; with the action it had taken, the Committee had developed a structure which combined those two elements effectively into a new peacekeeping scale that continued to enshrine the principle of the special responsibility of the permanent members of the Security Council and identified the economic basis for expansion and growth of contributors. The scale provided an opportunity to review the financial capabilities of Member States every three years. It maintained the right of each Member to have its capacity to pay reviewed on a triennial basis and to choose between the mandatory brackets and voluntary increases in contributions. The scale was far better than the scale which had been used for the past 27 years.

29. His delegation paid tribute to the members of the Rio Group for their efforts, since they themselves would be paying more under the new scale.

30. **Mr. Samhan** (United Arab Emirates) said that the consensus reached at the current meeting would enhance the role of the United Nations in the maintenance of international peace and security. It was the responsibility of all Member States to implement everything which had been agreed upon.

31. His delegation expressed appreciation to all the fraternal delegations which had understood his country's concerns. The agreement reached was contrary to his country's national interests, but his delegation attached great importance to the United Nations and had therefore supported the consensus.

32. His delegation hoped that the Committee secretariat and the Committee on Contributions would review the data provided to the Secretary-General by his Government in order to determine its contributions to the regular budget and to the peacekeeping budget.

33. **Mr. Valdivieso** (Colombia), speaking on behalf of the Rio Group, said that the Rio Group welcomed the consensus that had been reached, which reflected the deep commitment of the developing countries to the Organization. The Rio Group countries would see an increase of some 32.5 per cent in their contributions to the regular budget. At the same time, they were taking on greater responsibilities. The Rio Group countries hoped that the Committee would make further progress towards developing more objective criteria for the scales of assessment; the technical elements of the methodology must prevail over political interests if there was to be a solid, predictable and stable basis of financing for the Organization. The Rio Group countries noted with satisfaction the broad support by Member States for the new scale of assessments for the budget for peacekeeping operations; now that the criteria were fairer and more equitable, it was to be hoped that in future there would be the necessary basis and political commitment for financing peacekeeping operations.

34. **Ms. Petrosini** (Venezuela) said that her delegation had not opposed the consensus on the scale of assessments for the regular budget since, compared with the possibility of the United Nations being seriously jeopardized, it was the lesser evil. However, her delegation felt that the modification of the ceiling was totally contrary to justice and equity, and especially to the principle of capacity to pay, and placed an undue burden on other Member States. It deplored the methods used by the main contributor to force delegations to accept the ceiling, which ran counter to the spirit and letter of its international obligations under the Charter.

Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.38

35. **Ms. Benary** (Deputy Secretary of the Committee) said that the words "with a transition time of five years, as follows" in paragraph 5 of the draft resolution should be deleted, and the footnote should read: "Level H means 70 per cent discount for voluntary movement" and should apply to all references to level H.

36. **Mr. Paolillo** (Uruguay) said his delegation felt that the footnote was unnecessary; if it was retained, it should be amended to refer to a target discount of 80 per cent, or 70 per cent on a voluntary basis, as indicated in draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.37.

37. **Mr. Abelian** (Armenia) said that countries which had made voluntary movements had wanted it to be specified that level H meant a 70 per cent discount.

38. *Draft resolution A/C.5/55/L.38, as orally revised, was adopted.*

Agenda item 116: Review of the efficiency of the administrative and financial functioning of the United Nations (*continued*) (A/C.5/55/L.33 and A/C.5/55/L.34)

39. *Draft decisions A/C.5/55/L.33 and A/C.5/55/L.34 were adopted.*

The meeting rose at noon.